

Al-Kindy College Medical Journal (KCMJ)

Research Article

Changing the Trends in Surgery during the COVID-19 Times: An Experience from the Eastern Uttar Pradesh State, India

ABSTRACT

Pooja Pandey¹, Singh Sandesh Bharat^{1*}, Ashutosh Gupta¹

¹ Department of General Surgery, MIMS, Barabanki, India * Corresponding author: <u>dr.sndsh17@gmail.com</u>

Article history: Received: 19 July 2022 Accepted:26 August 2022 Available online:30 December 2022

https://doi.org/10.47723/kcmj.v18i3.870

Keywords: Air borne disease, COVID-19, Neo-Surgical check Box



This article is an open access article

BY distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Introduction

A global influenza pandemic in 1918 and 2009, H1N1, i.e., swine flu, wreaked havoc on humanity. (1, 2) Lots of people died and suffered. It has been observed that surgical emergencies are complicated by respiratory infections. (3) As a result, surgical preparedness is critical in order to reduce the unwanted infection rate, mortality, and morbidity of not only patients but also healthcare workers. The coronavirus attack, which had its epicentre in Wuhan, China, illustrates a similar situation when the whole world was unprepared for the worse outcome. (4) The recent public health emergency declared by WHO had an immense effect on the surgeons and patients who required surgical care. (5).

As India was facing a major challenge not only in terms of scarcity of resources but also in mobilizing human resources at the cost of surgical branches, (6) The infectiousness, widespread fear, and loss of life among front-line workers had wreaked havoc, resulting in a drop in surgical rates. According to Orhan et al., out of 321 consulted emergency cases, 129 were admitted, out of which 49 patients (38%) were operated on. (7) A reduction in emergency intervention as compared to previous years has been noticed. (8) The WHO Surgical Safety Checklist has been proposed to reduce the

rate of surgical site infection, which as a result will reduce morbidity and mortality. (9). Similarly, the combination of the WHO SSC and new normal norms will almost certainly benefit patients, frontline

economic lives of people but also on the surgical lives of surgeons, residents, nursing staff, and patients as well as ground level staff. Amidst this COVID pandemic, emergency surgeries were being done but at a decreased rate, whereas elective cases depended on the will of hospitals, surgeons, and patients. Study aims to promulgate a "Neo–Surgical Check Box" by amalgamating the WHO surgical checklist and the results obtained from the questionnaires. *Subjects and Methods:* After receiving ethical clearance from the Institute Ethical

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has an immense effect not only on the social and

Subjects and Methods: After receiving ethical clearance from the Institute Ethical Committee, an online questionnaire with 50 questions divided into three sections was distributed to 235 surgeons in Uttar Pradesh.

Results: Two hundred and eight surgeons had responded, out of which 154 were male and 54 were female. Only 41.4% (86) were actually conducting the surgery. The emergency surgery rate was 60.3%, whereas 18.6% of elective surgeries were done, 11.8% of surgeries were avoided, and the rate of minimal access surgery was 9.3%.

Conclusion: The "Neo-Surgical Check Box" will not only increase the number of surgeries per day but also provide protection to the healthcare workers while handling not only COVID-positive patients but also any airborne communicable diseases.

workers, and surgeons. The Neo-Surgical Checkbox (10) will provide a comprehensive description of the preoperative assessment, intraoperative requirements, and post-operative outcome, benefiting surgical care seekers while protecting healthcare workers. Therefore, it was vital to preserve manpower by minimizing infections among surgeons. However, they were not at the forefront, but the chances of getting infected in the operating room were quite high. (11) Therefore, operating room protocol needs to be modified starting from the entry till the discharge of the patient. (12) To overcome this crisis type of situation in the future, to handle the droplet infectious cases without any hesitation and to provide them with appropriate surgical care on time, we promulgate a "Neo–Surgical Check Box."

The "Neo-Surgical Check Box" has been developed by taking into account the standards developed by World Health Organization Surgical Safety Checklist (WHO-SSC) and results obtained from experienced surgeons.

The "Neo-Surgical Check Box" will not only increase the number of surgeries per day but also provide protection to healthcare workers while handling not only COVID-positive patients but also any airborne communicable diseases.

Subjects and Methods

Study type: Questionnaire-based prospective study Material used: After obtaining ethical clearance from the Institutional Ethical Committee. The questionnaire has been circulated online among the surgical branch doctors of Eastern Uttar Pradesh working in tertiary centres. The survey has been conducted for months (March 2021-Nov 2021). This questionnaire has been sent to 235 doctors. Those who have responded within the time period are included in this study.

Questionnaire Validation: The questionnaire has 3 segments divided into pre, intra, and post-operative sections containing 19, 14, and 17 questions, respectively.

Statistical analysis: Analysis of data is done by using excel sheets, pie charts, percentages, and bar graphs.

Results:

Two hundred and eight surgeons had responded, out of which 154 (74%) were male and 54 (26%) were female. Only 41.4% (86) were actually conducting the surgery, where the male-to-female ratio is 3:1.

Pre-Operatively-Team briefing on surgical plans for 86.3%, anaesthesia plans for 50.8%, and both for 9.6%. As an emergency (48.5%), followed by elective (33.8%), and minor surgeries (17.7%). Before shifting the patient to the operating room, 84.3% used proper donning of personal protective equipment, whereas 11.7% still used only gloves and N95mask. (Figure 1).

Intra-operatively- 83.1% agreed that the viral filter is present at the patient end of the breathing circuit. An aerosol box is used for intubation only by 27.7%. Maximum and minimum aerosol generation have been seen in general and in local anaesthesia, respectively. (Figure 3).

Surgeons are predisposed to infection when dealing with exploratory laparotomy, i.e., an emergency as compared to elective and minor surgery. (Figure 4). 25.1% agreed that part of the patient's preparation takes place in the operating room. For sanitization, sodium hypochlorite is mostly used. (Figure 2). Other yields of pre-operative assessment have been briefed under Table 1.

Post-operatively all the operative room (OR) team members remain in quarantine for 4–14 days, whereas 15.3% agreed that they should receive quarantine only in symptomatic or in suspicion cases. RTPCR testing on the OR team was done immediately on 29.2% of the doctors, whereas the maximum were tested after 7 days irrespective of symptoms or in asymptomatic conditions. (Table 3) Of 80 surgeons who had operated, 28 had gotten COVID test positive within 7 days of operating. Table 2 provides the rest of the questionnaire statistics

Table 1: Preoperative outcome

Pre – operative	A 1 (0/)	$D_{\text{res}} = 1 \left(0 \right)$	
Questionnaire (n=208)	Agreed (%)	Denied (%)	
Separate pre-operative	87.5	12.5	
room	87.5	12.5	
Status of covid patient	05.5	4.5	
briefed	95.5	4.5	
Covid notification sign on	90.5	0.5	
door	90.5	2.5	
patient wearing surgical	05.5	4.5	
mask	95.5	4.5	
RTPCR of OR team	81.8	17.7	
Recovery plan and	07	3	
location confirmed	21	5	
Stretcher cleaned after	03.4	6.6	
patient transferred to OR	95.4	0.0	
WHO Sign IN done	96.4	3.6	
All OR staff wearing			
Personal Protective	00.0	10.0	
Equipment (PPE) kit is	88.8	10.2	
confirmed			
Identification of OR staff			
and names are listed in	94.9	5.1	
register			
OR is under negative	0 0 4		
pressure	82.4	16.6	
OR runner present outside			
OR	82.4	16.6	



PPE kit N95 mask only Both gloves and N95 mask only gloves nothing is used

Figure 1: Use of personal safety materials







Figure 3: Aerosol generation in a specific anesthesia

Table 2: Intraoperative outcome

Introperative requirements $(n-208)$ A	Agreed	Denied
intraoperative requirements (ii–208)	(%)	(%)
Non-essential staff not required in OR	68.4	31.6
No.of Staff should be		
<6	93.3	6.7
>10	5.6	94.4
Surgery is aerosol generating	51.6	48.4
WHO Time Out preferred	92.7	7.3
Extubation process is aerosol generation	88	12

Table 3: Post-operative outcome

Post -operative outcome (n=208)	Agreed (%)	Denied (%)
Separate post-operative room available for COVID positive	95.9	4.1
Same gloves are used during surgery and for the shifting of patient	68.2	31.8
Separate way to shift the patient	92.3	7.7
COVID Notification sign on post-operative door	92.3	7.7
Oxygen flow available in post-operative room	96.9	3.1
While shifting patient PPE kit is used	86.7	13.1
Cleaning of OR is done		
Immediately	84.3	15.7
Within 6hrs	6.8	93.2
Within 24hrs	2.6	97.4
Before next case	6.3	93.7
Stretcher santitizer after shifting the patient	90.2	9.8
Biomedical waste disposal followed	94.9	5.1
COVID task force constituted	60.4	39.6

Pre- operative	Put "√" for the	Intra-	Put "√" for the	Post-	Put " for th
assessment	checked	operative requirement	checked	operative observation	check
COVID	ones		ones	Separate	ones
notification		Patient		post-	
sign on the		wearing N95		operative	
door of the		mask		room	
patient room				available	
Status of the				Change of	
patient on		Staff limited		gloves while	
COVID		to		shifting the	
briefed to OR team		≤ 6		patient	
Patient		Viral filter		Separate	
wearing N95		present at the		way to shift	
mask		patient's end		the patient	
Briefing on				COVID	
surgery and		Aerosol box		notification	
anaesthesia		for intubation		sign on post-	
plan to the OR				operative	
team		T (doors	
Recovery plan		Type of		Oxygen flow	
and location		surgery		availability	
confirmed		Contorned		checked	
Part		Cautery		Detient	
preparation of		aontinuously		Patient wooring N05	
the patient		sucked out		wearing 195	
PTPCP status		sucked out		DDE kit	
of the OR		Slow		wore by	
team		Extubation		shifting team	
toum		Biomedical		COVID	
PPE kit worn		waste		task force	
by the shifting		disposal rules		vigilance on	
team		followed		OR team	
a ,				Quarantine	
Separate way		WIIO "Time		period	
transmontation		WHO TIMe		minimum of	
of the potiont		Out		7 days	
of the patient				provided	
Stretcher		Immediate		RTPCR of	
cleaned after		cleaning of		OR team	
patient transfer		the operating		sent on Day	
rent dunisiel		room		5	
10% sodium		Immediate			
hypochlorite		Disposal of			
used for the		unused or left			
sanitization		over			
OD toom		Diama - 1 - f			
UK leam		Disposal of			
identified and		waste			
names listed in		RMW			
the register		guidelines			
Full PPE Kit		Disposal of			
worn by the		drain fluid			
OR team with		according to			
proper		BMW			
donning		guidelines			
1 OR runner		U			
present outside					
OR					
OR under					
negative					
pressure					
WHO "Sign					

Table 4: Neo – Surgical Check Box (NSCB)

https://jkmc.uobaghdad.edu.iq/

In" done

Discussion

The global death toll stands at around 1.3 million (13) and, as per the Indian Medical Association COVID registry, the maximum number of doctor deaths is reported in Delhi, followed by Bihar and Uttar Pradesh. This survey had a broad perspective on the surgical cases faced by the eastern part of Uttar Pradesh. Whether it's a natural calamity or a man-made disaster, the health issues of the people will remain, casualties will come, and deliveries are going to happen. Hence, it's important to look forward to managing the situation, keeping in mind patient safety and security as well as keeping surgeons healthy. Banerjee et al. are correct in recommending that surgical techniques (5) be adjusted. Orhan has reported a dramatic reduction in emergency as well as in elective cases in pandemic time as compared to 2018 and 2019 in the same month. Here, in this survey, the number of elective surgeries being performed was less as compared to emergency surgeries.

Refusal of surgeries by the hospital and doctors, as well as patient reluctance to report to the hospital due to fear, always had a negative impact on the number of cases performed, which in turn had a negative impact on the health care system. A study was conducted in Ontario between March 15 and June 13, 2020, which revealed a backlog of 148364 surgeries. (14) According to Uimonen et al., the mean waiting time for the surgery was 92.6 days, which was an 8% increase as compared to the usual time. Therefore, delays in surgery increase complications and mortality rates. (15)

To keep a check on the backlog and perform usual surgeries even at the time of a pandemic, we are proposing a "Neo-Surgical Check Box" keeping in mind the WHO surgical checklist (Table 4).

Conclusion:

Due to lack of knowledge of the pandemic situation has moved the surgical resident towards the theoretical aspect of surgery as compared to the practical one .Mobilizing the surgical resident to handle the medical part of the COVID patient would hamper or delay their progression of surgical career .Hence, this checkbox will bring the residency life towards normalcy where they can handle all sorts of carefree surgeries

It's good to be ready with all the possible outcomes. This check box not only will be beneficial for the surgeons and covid positive patients but it will be a boon in any sort of respiratory ailments for the near future.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific fund.

Conflict of Interest No conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank all the surgeons who have taken out time to fill our questionnaire form and helped us in proposing a new surgical heck box for future.

References

 Martini M, Gazzaniga V, Bragazzi NL, Barberis I. The Spanish Influenza Pandemic: a lesson from history 100 years after 1918. Journal of preventive medicine and hygiene. 2019 Mar;60(1):E64.

- [2] Dandagi GL, Byahatti SM. An insight into the swineinfluenza A (H1N1) virus infection in humans. Lung India: Official Organ of Indian Chest Society. 2011 Jan;28(1):34.
- [3] Person B, Bahouth H, Brauner E, Ben-Ishay O, Bickel A, Kluger YS. Surgical emergencies confounded by H1N1 influenza infection-a plea for concern. World Journal of Emergency Surgery. 2010 Dec;5(1):1-4.
- [4] Kibbe MR. Surgery and COVID-19. Jama. 2020 Sep 22;324(12):1151-2.
- [5] Banerjee N, Bagaria D, Agarwal H. COVID 19 and surgeryhow this pandemic is changing the way we operate. Journal of British Surgery. 2020 Sep;107(10):e390.-
- [6] Alimoglu O, Erol CI, Kayali A, Acar M, Colapkulu N, Leblebici M, Ekinci O. Emergency surgery during COVID-19 pandemic; what has changed in practice?. Journal of British Surgery. 2020 Nov;107(12):e581-2.
- [7] Zhu H, Wei L, Niu P. The novel coronavirus outbreak in Wuhan, China. Global health research and policy. 2020 Dec;5(1):1-3.
- [8] O'Connell RM, Khan MA, Amir M, Bucheeri M, Khan W, Khan IZ, Barry KM. The impact of COVID-19 on emergency general surgery admissions and operative volumes: A single centre experience. The Surgeon. 2021 Oct 1;19(5):e207-12.
- [9] Sharma P, Tripathi V, Gupta U. Knowledge, attitude and practices regarding World Health Organization surgical safety checklist and the challenges in its implementation at a teaching hospital in North India. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2020 Sep 1;9(9):3759-63.
- [10] Pandey P, Bharat SS, Gupta A. Changing trends in surgery during covid times: A tertiary care hospital experience. International Journal of Surgery. 2021;5(2):214-5.
- [11] Prakash L, Dhar SA, Mushtaq M. COVID-19 in the operating room: a review of evolving safety protocols. Patient Safety in Surgery. 2020 Dec;14(1):1-8.
- [12] Bains L, Mishra A, Gupta L, Singh R, Lal P. Surgery in Covid 19 times: A comprehensive review. MAMC Journal of Medical Sciences. 2020 Sep 1;6(3):163.
- [13] Green A. A tribute to some of the doctors who died from COVID-19. Lancet (London, England). 2020 Nov 28;396(10264):1720.
- [14] Oliveira M, Bélanger V, Marques I, Ruiz A. Assessing the impact of patient prioritization on operating room schedules. Operations Research for Health Care. 2020 Mar 1;24:100232.
- [15] Uimonen M, Kuitunen I, Paloneva J, Launonen AP, Ponkilainen V, Mattila VM. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on waiting times for elective surgery patients: A multicenter study. PLoS One. 2021 Jul 6;16(7):e0253875.

To cite this article: Pandey P, Bharat SS, Gupta A. Changing the Trends in Surgery during the COVID-19 Times: An Experience from the Eastern Uttar Pradesh State, India. Al-Kindy college Medical Journal. 2022;18(3):181–4.